



# MASCC 2020 recommendations for the management of immune-related adverse events of patients undergoing treatment with immune checkpoint inhibitors

Bernardo L. Rapoport<sup>1,2</sup> · Ronald Anderson<sup>1</sup> · Tim Cooksley<sup>3,4</sup> · Douglas B. Johnson<sup>5</sup>

© Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2020

Oncoimmunotherapy with immune checkpoint inhibitor-targeted antibodies has developed as the most significant advance in the management of cancer in recent years [1]. The concept that the immune system was unsuccessful in protecting humans against the development of cancer has changed over the last decade. Checkpoint molecules are inhibitory (PD-1, PDL-1, CTLA-4, TIM-3, LAG-3, BTLA, and HEVM) and stimulatory (CD27, CD40, OX40, GITR, ICOS, and CD137) co-receptors expressed mostly by T cells, but also by other immune cells including antigen-presenting dendritic cells. The basic function of these inhibitory co-receptors is to negatively regulate T cell activation, which is critical in the maintenance of peripheral self-tolerance. The co-inhibitory receptor ligands for these immune checkpoint molecules are, however, also significantly upregulated in various types of cancers, resulting in evasion of anticancer immunity.

Recent advances in immunotherapy include the discovery of the inhibitory immune checkpoint molecules, programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1), and cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated antigen 4 (CTLA-4), discovered by Tasuku Honjo and James P. Allison in 1992 and 1996, respectively [2, 3]. In acknowledgment of this pioneering research, these scientists received the 2018 Nobel Prize for Physiology and Medicine. The significant and often durable clinical responses seen with monoclonal antibodies targeting CTLA-4 and PD-1 have resulted in new standards of care in a variety of malignant diseases [1–4], following FDA approval of checkpoint inhibitors that included pembrolizumab [5], nivolumab [6], cemiplimab [7], atezolizumab [8], durvalumab [9], and avelumab [10]. These agents are approved for several indications including melanoma, lung cancer (small and non-small cell types), bladder cancer, renal cell carcinoma, and Hodgkin's disease [5–10]. Other co-inhibitory molecules under clinical evaluation include T cell immunoglobulin and mucin domain-containing molecule-3 (TIM-3) [11], lymphocyte activation gene-3 (LAG-3) [12], V domain Ig-containing suppressor of T cell activation (VISTA) [13], and B and T lymphocyte attenuator (BTLA) [14]. Additionally, numerous clinical trials are also investigating combinations of immune checkpoint inhibitors with other anticancer treatments such as chemotherapy, radiotherapy, targeted therapy, and antiangiogenic agents (small molecules or monoclonal antibodies), with several of these combinations already approved and in routine clinical use.

Monoclonal antibodies that target inhibitory immune checkpoint molecules are generally well tolerated and are significantly less toxic than standard chemotherapy regimens. These agents do, however, have toxicities related to over-activation of the immune system. These are referred to as immune-related adverse events (irAEs) [15]. irAEs include fatigue, skin, gastrointestinal, liver, pulmonary, endocrine,

✉ Bernardo L. Rapoport  
bernardo.rapoport@up.ac.za

Ronald Anderson  
ronald.anderson@up.ac.za

Tim Cooksley  
cooks199@hotmail.com

Douglas B. Johnson  
douglas.b.johnson@vumc.org

<sup>1</sup> Department of Immunology, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Pretoria, PO Box 667, Pretoria 0001, South Africa

<sup>2</sup> The Medical Oncology Centre of Rosebank, 129 Oxford Road, Saxonwold, Johannesburg 2196, South Africa

<sup>3</sup> Manchester University Foundation Trust, Manchester, UK

<sup>4</sup> The Christie, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

<sup>5</sup> Department of Medicine, Vanderbilt University Medical Center and Vanderbilt Ingram Cancer Center, Nashville, TN, USA

ocular, neurological, and rare toxicities such as type 1 diabetes and those of cardiac and hematological origin.

Dermatological toxicities can emerge following the first treatment with immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs). Skin rashes are frequently maculopapular and mild in nature [16]. Rash and pruritus occur more commonly with anti-CTLA-4 compared with anti-PD-1 inhibitors [17]. Serious skin reactions such as Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis are seen in a minority of patients [18]. Vitiligo occurs in a small number of patients receiving ICIs and is generally associated with clinical benefit and long-term survival [19].

Gastrointestinal side effects include ulcers, mucositis, gastritis, colitis, and abdominal pain. Diarrhea, occasionally with bloody or mucus stool, is the most common gastrointestinal manifestation and usually accompanies enterocolitis. In severe cases, these complications are associated with toxic megacolon and perforation [20].

Endocrine IrAE symptoms are generally nonspecific and include fatigue, mental status changes, headaches, and dizziness [21]. Hypothyroidism is the most common endocrinological abnormality; hypophysitis or adrenal insufficiency (more often seen with anti-CTLA-4) and type 1 diabetes may present with severe acute symptoms [21]. Clinicians should screen for thyroid abnormalities and baseline thyroid function tests, while adrenal function assays may be indicated in some patients.

Other, less frequent IrAEs may also occur. Ophthalmological IrAEs in the form of episcleritis, uveitis, or conjunctivitis have also been reported [22]. Neurological IrAEs include myasthenia gravis, aseptic meningitis, encephalitis, motor and sensory neuropathies including Guillain-Barre syndrome, and other rare events such as enteric or autonomic neuropathies and transverse myelitis [23]. Musculoskeletal IrAEs include inflammatory arthritis, myositis, polymyalgia rheumatica-like presentation, and rarely osteitis. Other rare IrAEs include anemia related to red cell aplasia, neutropenia, acquired hemophilia A, thrombocytopenia [24, 25], pancreatitis [26], renal insufficiency [27], nephritis [27], and myocarditis [28].

MASCC established the MASCC Subgroup on Immunology (IO) in 2018 under the auspices of the Neutropenia, Infection, and Myelosuppression Study Group (SG). The IO subgroup comprises members from numerous medical specialties with an interest in IrAEs. Represented disciplines include, but are not limited to, gastroenterology, dermatology, neurology, immunology, hematology, rheumatology, endocrinology, nephrology, and emergency medicine.

The first initiative of the new MASCC subgroup on IO was to update current treatments for the management of patients undergoing checkpoint inhibition who experienced these unique toxicities. It must be emphasized, however, that the management of these IrAEs is primarily based on clinical

recommendations from experience based on clinical trials, general clinical consensus, and daily clinical practice. There are no prospective randomized trials to assess whether one treatment strategy is superior to another. Although these recommendations are widely accepted, the level of evidence is too low to constitute a “Guideline.” Additionally, some of these recommendations are based on only clinical case series, particularly for those patients experiencing infrequent or rare toxicities.

MASCC appointed a multidisciplinary team of experts from different parts of the world including North America, South America, the UK, and South Africa and to develop these recommendations. In line with MASCC as a multidisciplinary professional association, the team was comprised of basic scientists and clinical investigators, including medical oncologists, emergency physicians, internal medicine subspecialists, and professional nurses.

The main difference between the guidelines developed by other professional organizations like ASCO [29], NCCN [30], ESMO [31], and SITC [32] and the MASCC recommendations is that the MASCC recommendations focus on the updated management of patients with severe and refractory toxicities.

IrAEs are typically low-grade and controllable, especially with use of single agent immune checkpoint inhibition; however, the reporting of these IrAEs outside a clinical trial setting is generally suboptimal [33]. Early recognition of IrAEs and proactive management by clinicians remain critical to lower morbidity and mortality associated with these treatments. Anti-CTLA-4 and anti-PD-1/anti-PD-L1 have different mechanisms of action, and several clinical trials investigating combination therapies in a multiplicity of cancers, including metastatic renal cell cancer and metastatic melanoma, have been reported. In these studies, the incidence of severe grade 3 and grade 4 IrAEs due to the combination of ipilimumab and nivolumab was present in approximately 50% of patients. The occurrence of these severe toxicities was significantly higher compared with either antibody administered as a single agent, resulting in treatment interruption and discontinuations in approximately one-third of patients [34].

Clinicians and healthcare professionals treating these patients and managing IrAEs should be aware that there is a wide range of additional distinctive toxicities and side effects that can be unpredictable and severe in nature. As these agents are increasingly being administered in combination with targeted therapies, vaccines, chemotherapy, radiation therapy, or other treatment modalities, the incidence and severity of these toxicities may evolve. These changes in toxicity patterns will require ongoing efforts to update our recommendations to achieve better management of these IrAEs. Identifying biomarkers to categorize patients who will benefit from treatment with ICI-based treatment is imperative. Thus far, predictive biomarker research has concentrated on various tumor

signatures including PD-L1 expression, microsatellite instability, and tumor mutational burden. Biomarkers to identify patients at risk of severe toxicity would also be clinically useful. Somewhat paradoxically, however, there is a growing body of evidence that the presence of IrAEs also is associated with a better outcome, making this a more challenging task [35].

**Authors' contributions** All of the authors contributed equally to the conceptualization of the manuscript; BLR and RA shared equally drafting of the manuscript, while TC and DBJ provided additional expert input and editorial oversight. All of the authors provided critical appraisal of the manuscript and approve of its submission.

**Funding** Professor BL Rapoport is supported by supported by the Cancer Association of South Africa (CANSA) and the National Research Foundation (NRF) of South Africa.

## References

1. Khair DO, Bax HJ, Mele S, Crescioli S, Pellizzari G, Khiabany A, Nakamura M, Harris RJ, French E, Hoffmann RM, Williams IP, Cheung A, Thair B, Beales CT, Touizer E, Signell AW, Tasnova NL, Spicer JF, Josephs DH, Geh JL, MacKenzie Ross A, Healy C, Papa S, Lacy KE, Karagiannis SN (2019) Combining immune checkpoint inhibitors: established and emerging targets and strategies to improve outcomes in melanoma. *Front Immunol* 10:453. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.00453>
2. Ishida Y, Agata Y, Shibahara K, Honjo T (1992) Induced expression of PD-1, a novel member of the immunoglobulin gene superfamily, upon programmed cell death. *EMBO J* 11:3887–3895
3. Leach DR, Krummel MF, Allison JP (1996) Enhancement of anti-tumor immunity by CTLA-4 blockade. *Science*. 271(5256):1734–1736
4. Remon J, Passiglia F, Ahn MJ, Barlesi F, Forde PM, Garon EB, Gettinger S, Goldberg SB, Herbst RS, Hom L, Kubota K, Lu S, Mezquita L, Paz-Ares L, Popat S, Schalper KA, Skoulidis F, Reck M, Adjei AA, Scagliotti GV (2020) Immune checkpoint inhibitors in thoracic malignancies: review of the existing evidence by an IASLC expert panel and recommendations. *J Thorac Oncol* S1556-0864(20):30198–30192. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtho.2020.03.006>
5. Peters S, Kerr KM, Stahel R (2018) PD-1 blockade in advanced NSCLC: a focus on pembrolizumab. *Cancer Treat Rev* 62:39–49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctrv.2017>
6. Gomes F, Serra-Bellver P, Lorigan P (2018) The role of nivolumab in melanoma. *Future Oncol* 14(13):1241–1252. <https://doi.org/10.2217/fon-2017-0484>
7. Migden MR, Rischin D, Schmults CD, Guminski A, Hauschild A, Lewis KD, Chung CH, Hernandez-Aya L, Lim AM, Chang ALS, Rabinowitz G, Thai AA, Dunn LA, Hughes BGM, Khushalani NI, Modi B, Schadendorf D, Gao B, Seebach F, Li S, Li J, Mathias M, Booth J, Mohan K, Stankevich E, Babiker HM, Brana I, Gil-Martin M, Homsy J, Johnson ML, Moreno V, Niu J, Owonikoko TK, Papadopoulos KP, Yancopoulos GD, Lowy I, Fury (2018) MGPD-1 blockade with cemiplimab in advanced cutaneous squamous-cell carcinoma. *N Engl J Med* 379(4):341–351. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1805131>
8. Schmid P, Adams S, Rugo HS, Schneeweiss A, Barrios CH, Iwata H, Diéras V, Hegg R, Im SA, Shaw Wright G, Henschel V, Molinero L, Chui SY, Funke R, Husain A, Winer EP, Loi S, Emens LA, IMpassion130 Trial Investigators (2018) Atezolizumab and nab-paclitaxel in advanced triple-negative breast cancer. *N Engl J Med* 379(22):2108–2121. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1809615>
9. Paz-Ares L, Dvorkin M, Chen Y, Reinmuth N, Hotta K, Trukhin D, Statsenko G, Hochmair MJ, Özgüroğlu M, Ji JH, Voitko O, Poltoratskiy A, Ponce S, Verderame F, Havel L, Bondarenko I, Kazamowicz A, Losonczy G, Conev NV, Armstrong J, Byrne N, Shire N, Jiang H, Goldman JW, CASPIAN investigators. (2019) Durvalumab plus platinum-etoposide versus platinum-etoposide in first-line treatment of extensive-stage small-cell lung cancer (CASPIAN): a randomised, controlled, open-label, phase 3 trial. *Lancet* 394(10212):1929–1939. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(19\)32222-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(19)32222-6)
10. Motzer RJ, Penkov K, Haanen J, Rini B, Albiges L, Campbell MT, Venugopal B, Kollmannsberger C, Negrier S, Uemura M, Lee JL, Vasiliev A, Miller WH Jr, Gurney H, Schmidinger M, Larkin J, Atkins MB, Bedke J, Alekseev B, Wang J, Mariani M, Robbins PB, Chudnovsky A, Fowst C, Hariharan S, Huang B, di Pietro A, Choueiri TK (2019) Avelumab plus axitinib versus sunitinib for advanced renal-cell carcinoma. *N Engl J Med* 380(12):1103–1115. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1816047>
11. He Y, Cao J, Zhao C, Li X, Zhou C, Hirsch FR (2018) TIM-3, a promising target for cancer immunotherapy. *Onco Targets Ther* 11:7005–7009. <https://doi.org/10.2147/OTT.S170385>
12. Long L, Zhang X, Chen F, Pan Q, Phiphatwatchara P, Zeng Y, Chen H (2018) The promising immune checkpoint LAG-3: from tumor microenvironment to cancer immunotherapy. *Genes Cancer* 9(5-6):176–189. <https://doi.org/10.18632/genesandcancer.180>
13. ElTanbouly MA, Schaafsma E, Noelle RJ, Lines JL (2020) VISTA: coming of age as a multi-lineage immune checkpoint. *Clin Exp Immunol* 200:120–130. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cei.13415>
14. Celis-Gutierrez J, Blattmann P, Zhai Y, Jarmuzynski N, Ruminski K, Grégoire C, Ounoughene Y, Fiore F, Aebbersold R, Roncagalli R, Gstaiger M, Malissen B (2019) Quantitative Interactions in primary T cells provides a rationale for concomitant PD-1 and BTLA Coinhibitor blockade in cancer immunotherapy. *Cell Rep* 27(11):3315–3330.e7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2019.05.041>
15. Das S, Johnson DB (2019) Immune-related adverse events and anti-tumor efficacy of immune checkpoint inhibitors. *J Immunother Cancer* 7(1):306. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40425-019-0805-8>
16. Sibaud V (2018) Dermatologic Reactions to Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors: Skin Toxicities and Immunotherapy. *Am J Clin Dermatol* 19(3):345–361. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40257-017-0336-3> Review
17. Villadolid J, Amin A (2015) Immune checkpoint inhibitors in clinical practice: update on management of immune-related toxicities. *Transl Lung Cancer Res* 4(5):560–575. <https://doi.org/10.3978/j.issn.2218-6751.2015.06.06>
18. Weber JS, Kähler KC, Hauschild A (2012) Management of immune-related adverse events and kinetics of response with ipilimumab. *J Clin Oncol* 30(21):2691–2697. <https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2012.41.6750>
19. Byrne EH, Fisher DE (2017) Immune and molecular correlates in melanoma treated with immune checkpoint blockade. *Cancer* 123(S11):2143–2153. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.30444>
20. Rajha E, Chaftari P, Kamal M, Maamari J, Chaftari C, Yeung SJ (2019) Gastrointestinal adverse events associated with immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy. *Gastroenterol Rep (Oxf)* 8(1):25–30. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gastro/goz065>
21. Elia G, Ferrari SM, Galdiero MR, Ragusa F, Paparo SR, Ruffilli I, Varricchi G, Fallahi P, Antonelli (2019) A new insight in endocrine-related adverse events associated to immune checkpoint blockade. *Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab* 11:101370. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beem.2019.101370>

22. Kim JM, Materin MA, Sznol M, Kluger HM, Weiss S, Chow J, Stoessel K, Kombo N, Del Priore L, Pointdujour-Lim R (2019) Ophthalmic immune-related adverse events of immunotherapy: a single-site case series. *Ophthalmology*. 126(7):1058–1062. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2019.01.031>
23. Möhn N, Beutel G, Gutzmer R, Ivanyi P, Satzger I, Skripuletz T (2019) Neurological immune related adverse events associated with nivolumab, ipilimumab, and pembrolizumab therapy-review of the literature and future outlook. *J Clin Med* 8(11):E1777. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm8111777>
24. Zhuang J, Du J, Guo X, Zhou J, Duan L, Qiu W, Si X, Zhang L, Li Y, Liu X, Wang H, Zhou D, Zhang L (2020) Clinical diagnosis and treatment recommendations for immune checkpoint inhibitor-related hematological adverse events. *Thorac Cancer* 11(3):799–804. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1759-7714.13281>
25. Delanoy N, Michot JM, Comont T, Kramkimel N, Lazarovici J, Dupont R, Champiat S, Chahine C, Robert C, Herbaux C, Besse B, Guillemain A, Mateus C, Pautier P, Saiag P, Madonna E, Maerevoet M, Bout JC, Leduc C, Biscay P, Quere G, Nardin C, Ebbo M, Albignès L, Marret G, Levrat V, Dujon C, Vargaftig J, Laghouati S, Croisille L, Voisin AL, Godeau B, Massard C, Ribrag V, Marabelle A, Michel M, Lambotte O (2019) Haematological immune-related adverse events induced by anti-PD-1 or anti-PD-L1 immunotherapy: a descriptive observational study. *Lancet Haematol* 6(1):e48–e57. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-3026\(18\)30175-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-3026(18)30175-3)
26. Friedman CF, Clark V, Raikhel AV, Barz T, Shoushtari AN, Momtaz P, Callahan MK, Wolchok JD, Chapman PB, Hellmann MD, Postow MA (2016) Thinking critically about classifying adverse events: incidence of pancreatitis in patients treated with nivolumab + ipilimumab. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 109(4). <https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djw260>
27. Shingarev R, Glezerman IG (2019) Kidney complications of immune checkpoint inhibitors: a review. *Am J Kidney Dis* 74(4):529–537. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2019.03.433>
28. Johnson DB, Balko JM, Compton ML, Chalkias S, Gorham J, Xu Y, Hicks M, Puzanov I, Alexander MR, Bloomer TL, Becker JR, Slosky DA, Phillips EJ, Pilkinton MA, Craig-Owens L, Kola N, Plautz G, Reshef DS, Deutsch JS, Deering RP, Olenchock BA, Lichtman AH, Roden DM, Seidman CE, Koralknik IJ, Seidman JG, Hoffman RD, Taube JM, Diaz LA Jr, Anders RA, Sosman JA, Moslehi JJ (2016) Fulminant myocarditis with combination immune checkpoint blockade. *N Engl J Med* 375(18):1749–1755
29. Brahmer JR, Lacchetti C, Schneider BJ, Atkins MB, Brassil KJ, Caterino JM, Chau I, Ernstoff MS, Gardner JM, Ginex P, Hallmeyer S, Holter Chakrabarty J, Leighl NB, Mammen JS, McDermott DF, Naing A, Nastoupil LJ, Phillips T, Porter LD, Puzanov I, Reichner CA, Santomaso BD, Seigel C, Spira A, Suarez-Almazor ME, Wang Y, Weber JS, Wolchok JD, Thompson JA (2018) Management of immune-related adverse events in patients treated with immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy: American Society of Clinical Oncology Clinical Practice Guideline. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. *J Clin Oncol* 36(17):1714–1768. <https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2017.77.6385>
30. [https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\\_gls/default.aspx#immunotherapy](https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/default.aspx#immunotherapy). Accessed 05 April 2020
31. Haanen JBAG, Carbonnel F, Robert C, Kerr KM, Peters S, Larkin J, Jordan K (2018) ESMO guidelines committee. Management of toxicities from immunotherapy: ESMO clinical practice guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol* 29(Suppl 4):iv264–iv266. <https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdy162>
32. Puzanov I, Diab A, Abdallah K, Bingham CO 3rd, Brogdon C, Dadu R, Hamad L, Kim S, Lacouture ME, LeBoeuf NR, Lenihan D, Onofrei C, Shannon V, Sharma R, Silk AW, Skondra D, Suarez-Almazor ME, Wang Y, Wiley K, Kaufman HL, Ernstoff MS, Society for Immunotherapy of cancer toxicity management working group (2017) Managing toxicities associated with immune checkpoint inhibitors: consensus recommendations from the Society for Immunotherapy of Cancer (SITC) Toxicity Management Working Group. *J Immunother Cancer* 5(1):95. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40425-017-0300-z>
33. Rapoport BL, van Eeden R, Sibaud V, Epstein JB, Klastersky J, Aapro M, Moodley D (2017) Supportive care for patients undergoing immunotherapy. *Support Care Cancer* 25(10):3017–3030. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-017-3802-9>
34. Larkin J, Chiarion-Sileni V, Gonzalez R, Grob JJ, Cowey CL, Lao CD, Schadendorf D, Dummer R, Smylie M, Rutkowski P, Ferrucci PF, Hill A, Wagstaff J, Carlino MS, Haanen JB, Maio M, Marquez-Rodas I, McArthur GA, Ascierto PA, Long GV, Callahan MK, Postow MA, Grossmann K, Sznol M, Dreno B, Bastholt L, Yang A, Rollin LM, Horak C, Hodi FS, Wolchok JD (2015) Combined nivolumab and ipilimumab or monotherapy in untreated melanoma. *N Engl J Med* 373(1):23–34. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1504030>
35. Eggermont AMM, Kicinski M, Blank CU, Mandala M, Long GV, Atkinson V, Dalle S, Haydon A, Khattak A, Carlino MS, Sandhu S, Larkin J, Puig S, Ascierto PA, Rutkowski P, Schadendorf D, Koornstra R, Hernandez-Aya L, Di Giacomo AM, van den Eertwegh AJM, Grob JJ, Gutzmer R, Jamal R, Lorigan PC, Krepler C, Ibrahim N, Marreaud S, van Akkooi A, Robert C, Suci S (2020) Association between immune-related adverse events and recurrence-free survival among patients with stage III melanoma randomized to receive pembrolizumab or placebo: a secondary analysis of a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Oncol* 6(4):519–527. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoncol.2019.5570>

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.