



OPEN ACCESS

Role of front-line bevacizumab in advanced ovarian cancer: the OSCAR study

Marcia Hall,¹ Gianfilippo Bertelli,² Louise Li,³ Clare Green,⁴ Steve Chan,⁵ Chit Cheng Yeoh,⁶ Jurjees Hasan,⁷ Rachel Jones,² Agnes Ograbek,⁸ Timothy J Perren⁹

► Additional material is published online only. To view please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ijgc-2019-000512>).

¹Mount Vernon Cancer Centre, Northwood, UK

²Singleton Hospital, Swansea, United Kingdom

³James Cook University Hospital, Middlesbrough, UK

⁴Southampton University Hospitals NHS Trust, Southampton, UK

⁵Nottingham University Hospital NHS Trust (City Hospital Campus), Nottingham, UK

⁶Oncology Department, Queen Alexandra Hospital, Portsmouth, UK

⁷Medical Oncology, The Christie NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, UK

⁸Medical Affairs, Roche Products Ltd, Welwyn Garden City, UK

⁹Leeds Institute of Cancer and Pathology, St James's University Hospital, Leeds, UK

Correspondence to

Dr Marcia Hall, Mount Vernon Cancer Centre, Northwood HA6 2RN, UK; marcia.hall@nhs.net

Received 2 April 2019

Revised 14 July 2019

Accepted 29 July 2019



© IGCS and ESGO 2019. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. Published by BMJ.

To cite: Hall M, Bertelli G, Li L, *et al.* *Int J Gynecol Cancer* Published Online First: [please include Day Month Year]. doi:10.1136/ijgc-2019-000512

HIGHLIGHTS

- The OSCAR study evaluated front-line bevacizumab for advanced ovarian cancer in the UK.
- Median progression-free survival was 15.4 months and toxicity was predictable and manageable.
- Median progression-free survival was shortest in patients who did not undergo surgery.

ABSTRACT

Objective Two randomized phase III trials demonstrated the efficacy and safety of combining bevacizumab with front-line carboplatin/paclitaxel for advanced ovarian cancer. The OSCAR (NCT01863693) study assessed the impact of front-line bevacizumab-containing therapy on safety and oncologic outcomes in patients with advanced ovarian cancer in the UK.

Methods Between May 2013 and April 2015, patients with high-risk stage IIIB–IV advanced ovarian cancer received bevacizumab (7.5 or 15 mg/kg every 3 weeks, typically for ≤ 12 months, per UK clinical practice) combined with front-line chemotherapy, with bevacizumab continued as maintenance therapy. Co-primary endpoints were progression-free survival and safety (NCI-CTCAE v4.0). Patients were evaluated per standard practice/physician's discretion.

Results A total of 299 patients received bevacizumab-containing therapy. The median age was 64 years (range 31–83); 80 patients (27%) were aged ≥ 70 years. Surgical interventions were primary debulking in 21%, interval debulking in 36%, and none in 43%. Most patients (93%) received bevacizumab 7.5 mg/kg with carboplatin/paclitaxel. Median duration of bevacizumab was 10.5 months (range <0.1 –41.4); bevacizumab and chemotherapy were given in combination for a median of three cycles (range 1–10). Median progression-free survival was 15.4 (95% CI 14.5 to 16.9) months. Subgroup analyses according to prior surgery showed median progression-free survival of 20.8, 16.1, and 13.6 months in patients with primary debulking, interval debulking, and no surgery, respectively. Median progression-free survival was 16.1 vs 14.8 months in patients aged <70 versus ≥ 70 years, respectively. The 1-year overall survival rate was 94%. Grade 3/4 adverse events occurred in 54% of patients, the most common being hypertension (16%) and neutropenia (5%). Thirty-five patients (12%) discontinued bevacizumab for toxicity (most often for proteinuria (2%)).

Conclusions Median progression-free survival in this study was similar to that in the high-risk subgroup of the ICON7 phase III trial. Median progression-free survival was shortest in patients who did not undergo surgery.

BACKGROUND

Angiogenesis plays a key role in ovarian cancer progression and represents an important therapeutic target. Several anti-angiogenic agents have been evaluated in ovarian cancer; of these, bevacizumab is the most extensively studied.¹ Bevacizumab is a humanized monoclonal antibody that targets vascular endothelial growth factor. It is approved in combination with chemotherapy in newly diagnosed and recurrent ovarian cancer by the US Food and Drug Administration, the European Medicines Agency, and in many other countries worldwide.

The efficacy and safety of front-line bevacizumab, carboplatin, and paclitaxel were demonstrated in two randomized phase III trials, the Gynecologic Oncology Group (GOG)-0218 and the International Collaboration on Ovarian Neoplasms (ICON) 7.^{2,3} Adding bevacizumab to carboplatin and paclitaxel chemotherapy significantly improved progression-free survival (primary endpoint) in both trials, although no significant impact on overall survival was detectable in the overall population of either trial. Nevertheless, in the subgroup of patients classified as 'high risk' in ICON7, overall survival was significantly improved with bevacizumab,⁴ leading to preferential use of bevacizumab in this population in some countries and regions.

Although such trials provide clear evidence of efficacy, typically randomized phase III trials have strict eligibility criteria and the populations are often not fully representative of patients presenting in routine oncology practice.⁵ It is important to assess whether outcomes observed in rigorously conducted randomized phase III trials can be replicated in the 'real-world' setting, where patients typically have more comorbidities and are less fit. In England, bevacizumab combined with chemotherapy is reimbursed in patients with stage IIIB–IV (high-risk) ovarian cancer, based on the significantly improved progression-free and overall survival in the 'high-risk' subgroup (stage III with ≥ 1 cm residual disease, any stage IV, or no surgery) of the ICON7 trial.^{3,4} The OSCAR study (An

Table 1 Baseline characteristics and medical history

Characteristic	All patients (n=299)	Subgroup aged <70 years (n=219)	Subgroup aged ≥70 years (n=80)
Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status, n (%)			
0	81 (27)	65 (30)	16 (20)
1	99 (33)	70 (32)	29 (36)
2	20 (7)	13 (6)	7 (9)
Unknown	99 (33)	71 (32)	28 (35)
Median (range) body mass index, kg/m ²	(n=206) 25 (17–50)	(n=147) 24 (17–50)	(n=59) 25 (17–40)
Ongoing hypertension, n (%)	79 (26)	49 (22)	33 (41)
Prior antihypertensive therapy, n (%)	51 (17)	28 (13)	23 (29)
Prior β-blocker therapy, n (%)	27 (9)	14 (6)	13 (16)
Ongoing concomitant medications, n (%)			
Analgesic	219 (73)	170 (78)	49 (61)
Antibiotic	69 (23)	48 (22)	21 (26)
Antidepressant	65 (22)	51 (23)	14 (18)
Antidiabetic	23 (8)	16 (7)	7 (9)
Diuretic	22 (7)	16 (7)	6 (8)
Histological subtype, n (%*)	(n=171)	(n=135)	(n=36)
Serous	148 (87)	116 (86)	32 (89)
Clear cell	8 (5)	7 (5)	1 (3)
Endometrioid	6 (4)	5 (4)	1 (3)
Other/missing*	9 (5)	7 (5)	2 (6)
Stage at start of bevacizumab, n (%)	(n=298)†	(n=218)†	(n=80)
IIIB	15 (5)	11 (5)	4 (5)
IIIC	152 (51)	104 (48)	48 (60)
IV	131 (44)	103 (47)	28 (35)
'High risk' per Medical Research Council ICON7 definition‡	268 (90)	199 (91)	69 (86)
Surgery, n (%)			
Primary debulking	62 (21)	48 (22)	14 (18)
Interval debulking§	109 (36)	87 (40)	22 (28)
None	128 (43)	84 (38)	44 (55)
Residual disease after primary debulking surgery, n (%)	(n=60)¶	(n=46)¶	(n=14)
No visible residuum	11 (18)	9 (20)	2 (14)
<1 cm	9 (15)	8 (17)	1 (7)
≥1 cm	40 (67)	29 (63)	11 (79)

*Not mandatory to record data; denominators of 171, 135, and 36, respectively (representing patients for whom surgical records were available, although not necessarily including histological information), are used to calculate percentages; 'other' includes adenocarcinoma, mixed epithelial, mucinous, and papillary, each recorded in one patient.

†Missing in one patient.

‡Stage III with ≥1 cm residuum, any stage IV, or no surgery.

§Including three patients with intended primary surgery who had interval debulking surgery.

¶Details of residual disease missing in two patients.

ICON7, International Collaboration on Ovarian Neoplasms 7.

Observational Study of Avastin (Bevacizumab) in Combination With Chemotherapy as First-Line Treatment in Patients With Advanced Ovarian Cancer, NCT01863693; sponsored by Roche Products Ltd) aimed to determine the safety and efficacy of bevacizumab-containing therapy in this patient population in the UK.

METHODS

This single-arm non-interventional study was conducted in accordance with the guidelines for Good Pharmacoepidemiology Practices and Good Clinical Practices, in full conformance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and the current Guideline for Good

Table 2 Treatment exposure

Exposure	All patients (n=299)	Subgroup aged <70 years (n=219)	Subgroup aged ≥70 years (n=80)
Bevacizumab dose, n (%)			
7.5 mg/kg once every 3 weeks	289 (97)	211 (96)	78 (98)
15 mg/kg once every 3 weeks	10 (3)	8 (4)	2 (3)
Bevacizumab duration, months			
Median (range)	10.5 (<0.1–41.4)	10.6 (<1–29.7)	10.4 (<1–41.4)
>12 months, n (%)	85 (28)	61 (28)	24 (30)
Chemotherapy partner, n (%)			
Carboplatin+paclitaxel	286 (96)	215 (98)	71 (89)
Carboplatin alone	11 (4)	2 (1)	9 (11)
Other*	2 (1)	2 (1)	0
Reason for discontinuing bevacizumab, n (%)			
Disease progression	134 (45)	95 (43)	39 (49)
Adverse event	35 (12)	22 (10)	13 (16)
Investigator's decision	56 (19)	42 (19)	14 (18)
Patient's decision	4 (1)	2 (1)	2 (3)
Other	3 (1)	2 (1)	1 (1)
Completed 18 cycles	67 (22)	56 (26)	11 (14)

*Carboplatin+doxorubicin (n=1), oxaliplatin+capecitabine (n=1).

Clinical Practice ICH Tripartite, and in compliance with the current European Union Clinical Trial Directive (2001/20/EC), as well as key recommendations of the UK Association of the British Pharmaceutical Industry guidance. The protocol and all study-related materials were approved by the South-East Coast, Brighton and Sussex Ethics Committee (12/LO/1680). All patients provided written informed consent. There was no patient or public involvement in the trial design.

Patients aged ≥18 years with high-risk stage IIIB–IV advanced ovarian cancer were eligible provided they had no contraindications to bevacizumab and that warnings and precautions for use as specified in the Summary of Product Characteristics⁶ were considered. Patients received bevacizumab (7.5 or 15 mg/kg every 3 weeks typically for up to 12 months, according to UK clinical practice and the ICON7 trial³) combined with standard front-line chemotherapy, and then continued as single-agent maintenance therapy. The choice of chemotherapy regimen was at the treating investigator's discretion. Patients were evaluated according to standard practice or at the physician's discretion during bevacizumab treatment (typically every 2–3 months according to UK practice), with an end-of-study assessment 12 months after the last bevacizumab dose. Patients were enrolled between May 2013 and April 2015.

The co-primary objectives were to characterize the safety profile of bevacizumab (assessed using National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events, version 4.0) in UK routine clinical practice, and to estimate progression-free survival. Secondary endpoints included overall survival, objective response rate, treatment regimen administered, and quality of life (EuroQol 5-Dimensions questionnaire, European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire core and

ovarian cancer modules). Participation in quality of life assessment was optional.

There were no predefined hypotheses regarding the primary and secondary objectives of the study. The sample size was determined based on practical considerations rather than any formal hypothesis testing. Progression-free survival was defined as the interval between first dose of front-line therapy until documented disease progression. Overall survival was defined as the interval between first dose of front-line therapy until death from any cause. Objective response rate was assessed locally by investigators and defined as the proportion of patients with complete or partial response as best response. Subgroup analyses of safety and efficacy according to age (<70 vs ≥70 years) were performed.

RESULTS

A total of 299 patients from 29 centers in England and Wales received bevacizumab-containing therapy. The median age was 64 years (range 31–83). Eighty patients (27%) were aged ≥70 years, including nine (3%) ≥80 years. Baseline characteristics and medical history are shown in Table 1. Only 57% of patients had undergone surgery (primary debulking in 21%, interval debulking in 36%). Older patients were less likely than younger patients to undergo surgery. Consistent with the high proportion of unoperated patients, the vast majority of patients (90%) matched the Medical Research Council definition of 'high risk' in ICON7.

Most patients (93%) received bevacizumab 7.5 mg/kg every 3 weeks with carboplatin and paclitaxel. Among the 11 patients who received single-agent carboplatin with bevacizumab, five were aged ≥80 years, four were 70–80 years, and two were <70




Figure 1 Most common adverse events: (A) overall population (any grade in $\geq 20\%$ of patients and/or grade 3/4 in $\geq 2\%$ of patients); (B) according to age (grade 3/4, occurring in $\geq 2\%$ of patients in either subgroup).

years. The median duration of bevacizumab therapy was 10.5 months (range $<0.1-41.4$), and was similar in subgroups of patients aged <70 versus ≥ 70 years. Bevacizumab was given in combination with chemotherapy for a median of three cycles (range 1–10). In 85 patients (28%), bevacizumab was administered for >12 months. Table 2 provides further details of treatment exposure. At the data cut-off date (14 May 2018), 155 patients (52%) had received further chemotherapy, most commonly carboplatin (43%), doxorubicin (31%), and paclitaxel (18%).

Almost all patients (99%) experienced at least one adverse event. The most common adverse events (any grade) were fatigue (48%), constipation (40%), nausea (38%), and hypertension (32%) (Figure 1A). Grade 3/4 adverse events occurred in 54% of patients (55% of patients aged <70 years vs 54% of those ≥ 70 years). The most common grade ≥ 3 adverse events were hypertension (16%) and neutropenia (5%). Grade 3/4 hypertension was more common in older than younger patients (20% vs 15%, respectively) but there were no other notable safety differences according to age (Figure 1B). There were seven grade 5 adverse events (deaths),

including one gastrointestinal perforation (see online supplementary table S1). Two of the patients with a fatal adverse event were aged ≥ 70 years (one case each of bowel obstruction secondary to disease progression and aspiration pneumonia).

Table 3 shows adverse events of special interest for bevacizumab overall and according to age. The most common type of bleeding event was grade 1/2 epistaxis, which occurred in 41 patients (14%). Within the category defined as thromboembolic events, the most common was pulmonary embolism, which occurred in 13 patients (4%). Thromboembolic events were more common in older than younger patients. Three grade ≥ 3 adverse events classified as gastrointestinal perforation comprised one case each of perforated appendicitis, enterocutaneous fistula, and gastrointestinal perforation. Of note, there were no grade ≥ 3 cases of congestive heart failure, cardiac disorders, posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome, or pulmonary hypertension.

Overall, 35 patients (12%) discontinued bevacizumab because of adverse events, most often proteinuria (2%) or pulmonary embolism (1%). Only one patient (0.3%) discontinued bevacizumab because

Table 3 Adverse events of special interest for bevacizumab

Adverse event, n (%)	All patients (n=299)		Subgroup aged <70 years (n=219)	Subgroup aged ≥70 years (n=80)
	Any grade	Grade ≥3	Any grade	Any grade
Hypertension	96 (32)	49 (16)	67 (31)	29 (36)
Bleeding	85 (28)	6 (2)	66 (30)	19 (24)
Proteinuria	61 (20)	4 (1)	46 (21)	15 (19)
Thromboembolic event	28 (9)	20 (7)	18 (8)	10 (13)
Thrombocytopenia	24 (8)	4 (1)	15 (7)	9 (11)
Wound-healing complication	6 (2)	1 (<1)	5 (2)	1 (1)
Gastrointestinal perforation	5 (2)	3 (1)	4 (2)	1 (1)
Cardiac disorders*	5 (2)	0	3 (1)	2 (3)
Fistula/abscess	3 (1)	0	1 (<1)	2 (3)
Posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome	0	0	0	0
Congestive heart failure	0	0	0	0
Pulmonary hypertension	1 (<1)	0	1 (<1)	0

*Excluding congestive heart failure and arterial thromboembolic events.

of hypertension. Sixty-seven patients (22%) began new antihypertensive therapy after initiation of bevacizumab-containing therapy. Patients with a medical history of hypertension (n=92) were more likely than those without a medical history of hypertension (n=207) to start new antihypertensive therapy after beginning bevacizumab (33% vs 18%, respectively). This effect was particularly pronounced in patients aged ≥70 years (46% of patients with a medical history of hypertension vs 16% of patients with no history of hypertension).

At the data cut-off date (14 May 2018), progression-free survival events had been recorded in 250 patients (84%). The majority of these represented progression detected by computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (189 patients, 76% of events); progression was detected by CA125 elevation alone in 35 patients (14%), CA125 elevation subsequently confirmed by CT scan in eight patients (3%), physical examination with or without CA125 elevation in nine patients (4%), and by histology of cells in the pleural effusion in one patient (<1%). Eight patients (3%) died without documented progression. Median progression-free survival was 15.4 (95% CI 14.5 to 16.9) months (Figure 2A). The 1-year progression-free survival rate was 68% (95% CI 62% to 73%). Sensitivity analyses defining progression-free survival from the date of first intervention (primary surgery or systemic therapy) instead of the protocol definition (aligned with ROSiA and ICON7, defining progression-free survival from the start of systemic therapy) showed consistent results: median progression-free survival was 15.4 (95% CI 14.6 to 17.2) months.

Subgroup analyses according to prior surgery (Figure 2B) showed median progression-free survival of 20.8 months (95% CI 17.4 to 25.5) in 62 patients who underwent primary debulking surgery, 16.1 months (95% CI 14.6 to 19.4) in 109 patients who underwent interval debulking surgery, and 13.6 months (95% CI 11.3 to 14.9) in 128 patients who had no surgery. In subgroup analyses according to age, median progression-free survival was 16.1 months (95% CI 14.5 to 18.5) in patients aged <70 years and 14.8

months (95% CI 12.2 to 16.1) in those ≥70 years (Figure 2C). Age showed no clear prognostic effect for progression-free survival.

The best overall response was complete response in 63 patients (21%) and partial response in 143 patients (48%), giving an objective response rate of 69% (95% CI 63% to 74%). An additional 70 patients (23%) achieved stable disease as their best response, resulting in a disease control rate of 92% (95% CI 89% to 95%). Objective response rate showed no difference according to age (69% (95% CI 63% to 75%) in patients aged <70 vs 68% (95% CI 56% to 78%) in patients aged ≥70 years). Likewise, disease control rates were almost identical (93% (95% CI 88% to 96%) vs 91% (95% CI 83% to 96%) in younger vs older patients, respectively).

At the data cut-off, 105 patients (35%) had died (9 (15%) of the 62 patients with primary debulking surgery, 41 (38%) of the 109 with interval debulking surgery, and 55 (43%) of the 128 with no surgery) (see online supplementary figure S1). The 1-year overall survival rate in the overall population was 94% (95% CI 90% to 96%). In subgroup analyses by age, 1-year overall survival rates were 94% (95% CI 90% to 97%) in patients aged <70 years versus 91% (95% CI 83% to 96%) in patients aged ≥70 years.

Overall, 106 patients (35%) consented to the optional quality of life component of the study. At baseline, 87 patients (29%) completed questionnaires but there was rapid attrition, with only 66 patients (22%) completing post-baseline questionnaires before 5.5 months, and 59 (20%) between 5.5 and 9.5 months after baseline. The available data showed no relevant changes from baseline in global health status, functioning, or symptom scales, except for improvements in role and social functioning (core module) and a transient increase in peripheral neuropathy symptoms (ovarian cancer module). However, the relatively low baseline participation rate and reduced participation over time limit the conclusions that can be drawn from this dataset.




Figure 2 Progression-free survival: (A) overall population; (B) according to surgery; (C) according to age.

DISCUSSION

The safety profile of front-line bevacizumab-containing therapy in this UK-based observational study is consistent with findings from the two randomized phase III trials (GOG-0218 and ICON7^{2,3}) and the single-arm ROSiA study in the front-line setting.⁷ Most adverse

events were typical of the chemotherapy regimen. Bevacizumab therapy was associated with hypertension, thromboembolic events, and low-grade bleeding and proteinuria, as expected according to previous studies in this setting.^{2,3,7-9} The incidence of gastrointestinal perforation was low (1% grade ≥3). Adverse events were as

expected and no new safety signals emerged, despite administration of neoadjuvant bevacizumab in 36% of patients. This finding is consistent with published safety results from the GEICO 1205 and ANTHALYA randomized phase II trials evaluating neoadjuvant bevacizumab; neither identified any particular safety concerns with neoadjuvant administration of bevacizumab.^{10 11} Hypertension and thromboembolic events were slightly more common in older than younger patients; this finding is consistent with the known increased risk of these events in older patients and those with pre-existing hypertension, irrespective of treatment, and is also consistent with findings from the ROSiA study.¹²

Median progression-free survival of 15.4 months (95% CI 14.5 to 16.9) in this predominantly high-risk patient population receiving bevacizumab for 1 year replicates median progression-free survival of 16.0 months (95% CI 14.2 to 17.8) in the high-risk subgroup of the randomized phase III ICON7 trial.³ Our results are also very similar to recently published data from a prospective observational study in Japan (n=346), in which patients with stage III/IV newly diagnosed ovarian cancer received bevacizumab with carboplatin and paclitaxel, with bevacizumab continued for 22 cycles in total.¹³ Median progression-free survival in the Japanese study was 16.3 months (95% CI 14.5 to 18.9). The response rate was 78% (95% CI 67% to 86%). In addition, a single-center retrospective analysis of 60 patients treated with front-line bevacizumab after primary debulking surgery or interval debulking surgery in South West Wales, UK, demonstrated median progression-free survival of 16 months.¹⁴ Similar to OSCAR, some of these patients received bevacizumab in combination with single-agent carboplatin as they were not considered fit enough to receive combination chemotherapy.

On the other hand, median progression-free survival of 15.4 months in the OSCAR study is shorter than the median progression-free survival of 21.3 months (95% CI 20.3 to 22.5) in the German OTILIA non-interventional study⁹ and 25.5 months (95% CI 23.7 to 27.6) in the international single-arm ROSiA study.⁷ Differences in dose, treatment duration, patient prognosis, and surgery may all contribute to differences in median progression-free survival between the OSCAR, OTILIA, and ROSiA studies. For example, in OTILIA, all patients received bevacizumab at 15 mg/kg for 15 months, and in ROSiA treatment was continued for up to 24 months. Furthermore, in the ROSiA study, 23% of patients had stage I–IIIA disease, in contrast to OSCAR and OTILIA, which included only patients with stage IIIB–IV disease. Surgical differences were also apparent between countries: in the German OTILIA and global ROSiA studies, 94% (A Wegenaer, 2019, personal communication) and 95% of patients, respectively, underwent debulking surgery, and there was no visible residual disease after primary debulking surgery in 27% and 28% of patients, respectively,^{7 9 15} compared with 57% undergoing surgery and only 4% with no visible residual disease in the OSCAR study.

In our study population, only 21% of patients underwent primary debulking surgery, and a remarkable 43% received no surgery. Median progression-free survival was shortest in patients who received no surgery and longest in patients who underwent primary debulking surgery. One possible explanation for the low proportion of patients with surgery is that only patients ineligible for other clinical trials were enrolled in the OSCAR study, and therefore the

population represents a particularly poor-prognosis subgroup. To test this hypothesis, one would need to collect data on all patients treated during the enrollment period. As highlighted by Phillips et al,¹⁶ outcomes in patients who do not receive standard therapy are rarely reported, but are typically considerably worse than in populations treated in prospective studies. In a cohort of 593 patients diagnosed with advanced ovarian cancer in a regional cancer center in Birmingham, UK, median overall survival was significantly shorter in the overall population than in patients who underwent surgery (30.2 vs 38.7 months; $p < 0.001$); in patients undergoing primary debulking surgery, median overall survival was 54.5 months.¹⁶

The high proportion of patients with no surgery in the OSCAR study contrasts with the ICON7 population, in which all eligible stage III patients had to have primary surgery and only 2% (stage IV) had no surgery,¹⁷ perhaps making the replication of median progression-free survival in the OSCAR study more striking. It highlights an urgent need for quality control and improved surgical management of patients with ovarian cancer in the UK. British Gynecological Cancer Society clinical practice guidelines clearly state that the goal in managing advanced epithelial ovarian cancer is complete cytoreduction,¹⁸ and the need for specialist surgical training has been emphasized.¹⁹ Nevertheless, a recent audit of two gynecologic cancer centers in the UK illustrates the continued variation in surgical practice and its impact on outcomes.²⁰

The main limitations of the OSCAR study are the lack of a control arm, the relatively short duration of follow-up, and the low participation in quality of life assessments, which limits conclusions on the impact of treatment on patients. Major strengths are the relatively large sample size and the inclusion of a patient population representing 'real-life' clinical practice, including patients deemed too unfit to receive combination chemotherapy (or surgery) and a substantial proportion of elderly patients. Finally, the consistency with progression-free survival observed with front-line bevacizumab in the high-risk population of the randomized phase III ICON7 trial is noteworthy, confirming the applicability of these results to everyday practice.

Looking ahead, further data on front-line bevacizumab-containing therapy will be generated in the ongoing randomized phase III Medical Research Council ICON8B trial, as well as the control arms of the PAOLA-1 (NCT02477644), ENGOT-ov39/GOG-3015/IMagyn050 (NCT03038100), ENGOT-ov43/BGOG (NCT03740165), and ENGOT-ov46/AGO/DUO-O (NCT03737643) trials.

Acknowledgements The authors thank the patients who participated in this study and their families, the investigators, the study team from Roche, the clinical operations team, and the biostatisticians. The OSCAR study was sponsored by Roche Products Ltd (Welwyn Garden City, UK). Medical writing assistance was provided by Jennifer Kelly, MA (Medi-Kelsey Ltd), funded by Roche Products Ltd (Welwyn Garden City, UK).

Contributors The OSCAR study was designed by the sponsor in collaboration with the first author. All authors except AO treated patients and collected data, which were analyzed by statisticians at SQN, a Contract Research Organisation contracted by Roche Products Ltd. This manuscript was prepared by the first author with support from a medical writer funded by Roche Products Ltd. All authors reviewed subsequent drafts and approved the final version for submission.

Funding This study was funded by Roche Products Ltd.

Competing interests MH has participated in advisory boards for Roche, Tesaro, Clovis Oncology, and AstraZeneca. GB has participated in advisory boards for Roche, Novartis, and Genomic Health. JH has participated in advisory boards for Roche, AstraZeneca, and Tesaro. AO is an employee of Roche. TJP has participated

in advisory boards for Roche, Novartis, and Merck Sharp & Dohme Limited and has received travel/accommodation expenses from IGEA Medical. All remaining authors have declared no conflict of interest.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, an indication of whether changes were made, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

REFERENCES

- 1 Monk BJ, Minion LE, Coleman RL. Anti-angiogenic agents in ovarian cancer: past, present, and future. *Ann Oncol* 2016;27:i33–9.
- 2 Burger RA, Brady MF, Bookman MA, et al. Incorporation of bevacizumab in the primary treatment of ovarian cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2011;365:2473–83.
- 3 Perren TJ, Swart AM, Pfisterer J, et al. A phase 3 trial of bevacizumab in ovarian cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2011;365:2484–96.
- 4 Oza AM, Cook AD, Pfisterer J, et al. Standard chemotherapy with or without bevacizumab for women with newly diagnosed ovarian cancer (ICON7): overall survival results of a phase 3 randomised trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2015;16:928–36.
- 5 Khozin S, Blumenthal GM, Pazdur R. Real-world data for clinical evidence generation in oncology. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2017;109.
- 6 Roche Registration GmbH. Avastin summary of product characteristics. Available: https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/avastin-epar-product-information_en.pdf [Accessed 17 Jun 2019].
- 7 Oza AM, Selle F, Davidenko I, et al. Efficacy and safety of bevacizumab-containing therapy in newly diagnosed ovarian cancer: ROSiA single-arm phase 3B study. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* 2017;27:50–8.
- 8 Gonzalez-Martin A, Gladieff L, Tholander B, et al. Efficacy and safety results from OCTAVIA, a single-arm phase II study evaluating front-line bevacizumab, carboplatin and weekly paclitaxel for ovarian cancer. *Eur J Cancer* 2013;49:3831–8.
- 9 Woopen H, Wimberger P, Mustea A, et al. Influence of comorbidities on clinical outcome in patients (pts) receiving chemotherapy (CT) + bevacizumab (BEV) for primary advanced ovarian cancer (OC). *Ann Oncol* 2017;28.
- 10 Garcia Garcia Y, de Juan Ferré A, Mendiola C, et al. Efficacy and safety results from GEICO 1205, a randomized phase II trial of neoadjuvant chemotherapy with or without bevacizumab for advanced epithelial ovarian cancer. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* 2019;29:1050–6.
- 11 Rouzier R, Gouy S, Selle F, et al. Efficacy and safety of bevacizumab-containing neoadjuvant therapy followed by interval debulking surgery in advanced ovarian cancer: results from the ANTHALYA trial. *Eur J Cancer* 2017;70:133–42.
- 12 Selle F, Colombo N, Korach J, et al. Safety and efficacy of extended bevacizumab therapy in elderly (≥ 70 years) versus younger patients treated for newly diagnosed ovarian cancer in the international ROSiA study. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* 2018;28:729–37.
- 13 Komiyama S, Kato K, Inokuchi Y, et al. Bevacizumab combined with platinum–taxane chemotherapy as first-line treatment for advanced ovarian cancer: a prospective observational study of safety and efficacy in Japanese patients (JGOG3022 trial). *Int J Clin Oncol* 2019;24:103–14.
- 14 Bertelli G, Drews F, Lutchan Singh K. Bevacizumab for ovarian cancer at high risk of progression: reproducibility of trial results in ‘real-world’ patients. *Anticancer Res* 2016;36:4947–50.
- 15 Korach J, Colombo N, Mendiola C, et al. Outcome according to residual disease (surgeon’s report vs pre-chemotherapy imaging) in patients with bevacizumab-treated ovarian cancer: analysis of the ROSiA study. *J Surg Oncol* 2019;120:786–93.
- 16 Phillips A, Balega J, Nevin J, et al. Reporting ‘denominator’ data is essential for benchmarking and quality standards in ovarian cancer. *Gynecol Oncol* 2017;146:94–100.
- 17 González Martín A, Oza AM, Embleton AC, et al. Exploratory outcome analyses according to stage and/or residual disease in the ICON7 trial of carboplatin and paclitaxel with or without bevacizumab for newly diagnosed ovarian cancer. *Gynecol Oncol* 2019;152:53–60.
- 18 Fotopoulou C, Hall M, Cruickshank D, et al. British Gynaecological Cancer Society (BGCS) epithelial ovarian/fallopian tube/primary peritoneal cancer guidelines: recommendations for practice. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 2017;213:123–39.
- 19 Department of Health. Annual Report of the Chief Medical Officer, 2014. The Health of the 51%: Women. Available: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/595439/CMO_annual_report_2014.pdf [Accessed 8 Jan 2019].
- 20 Hall M, Savvatis K, Nixon K, et al. Maximal-effort cytoreductive surgery for ovarian cancer patients with a high tumor burden: variations in practice and impact on outcome. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2019;26:2943–51.