

SUPPORTIVE CARE

16810 Evaluation of practice patterns for prevention of chemotherapy (CT)-induced nausea and vomiting (CINV) and antiemetic guidelines (GLs) adherence based on real-world prescribing data

M.S. Aapro¹, F. Scotté², Y. Escobar³, L. Celio⁴, R.D. Berman⁵, A. Franceschetti⁶, D. Belli⁷, K. Jordan⁸

¹Genolier Cancer Centre, Clinique de Genolier, Genolier, Switzerland, ²Medical Oncology and Supportive Care Department, Foch Hospital, Suresnes, France, ³Department of Medical Oncology, Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, Madrid, Spain, ⁴Department of Medical Oncology and Hematology, Fondazione IRCCS - Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori, Milan, Italy, ⁵The Christie NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, UK, ⁶Ipsos MORI, London, UK, ⁷Ipsos Healthcare, Mahwah, NJ, USA, ⁸Department of Medicine V, Hematology, Oncology and Rheumatology, University Hospital of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany

Background: GLs-recommended antiemetic treatment improves emesis in most patients (pts) receiving CT. Non-adherence to GLs leads to suboptimal CINV control. MASCC/ESMO GLs recommend prophylaxis with a neurokinin-1 receptor antagonist (NK₁RA), a 5-hydroxytryptamine-3 (5-HT₃) RA, and dexamethasone (DEX) for pts receiving highly emetogenic CT (HEC, including anthracycline-cyclophosphamide [AC]) and carboplatin-based regimens. Here, we analyse use of NK₁RA + 5-HT₃RA + DEX for antiemetic prophylaxis prior to HEC and carboplatin (considered moderately EC [MEC]).

Methods: The data source was the Global Oncology Monitor (Ipsos Healthcare). Geographically representative physicians from France, Germany, Italy, Spain, and UK were screened for treatment involvement and number of pts treated/month. Pts' data from Jan–Dec 2017 were collected and extrapolated based on a doctor universe; projected estimates are shown here. The emetic risk of CT was classified per MASCC/ESMO GLs.

Results: Antiemetic treatment use is shown (Table). Data from 46,503 pts treated with CT were collected, which represents a total prevalence of 1,468,522 CT-treated pts included in the analysis. NK₁RAs were used in 39%/36%/23% of pts receiving cisplatin-/AC-/carboplatin-based CT, respectively; 18%/20%/11% received the GLs-recommended NK₁RA + 5-HT₃RA + DEX combination; 17% of all HEC-/MEC-treated pts received no antiemetics. Physicians' perception of the emetic risk of CT did not follow MASCC/ESMO GLs classification for 48%/48%/43% of cisplatin-/AC-/carboplatin-based regimens.

Conclusions: EU practice patterns revealed very low adherence to antiemetic GLs in clinical practice, with 16% of all pts (HEC/AC/carboplatin) receiving an NK₁RA + 5-HT₃RA + DEX, and 17% of HEC-/MEC-treated pts receiving no antiemetics. New strategies to improve GLs adherence are critically needed.

Editorial acknowledgement: Editorial and medical writing support was provided by Iratxe Abarrategui, PhD, CMPP, from TRM Oncology, The Hague, The Netherlands, and funded by Helsinn.

Legal entity responsible for the study: Helsinn Healthcare.

Funding: Helsinn Healthcare.

Disclosure: M.S. Aapro: Advisor, honoraria: Eisai, Helsinn, Merck, Mundipharma, Tesaro; Research grants: Helsinn, Merck, Tesaro. F. Scotté: Member of advisory boards or speaker: Roche, Amgen, Tesaro, Vifor, MSD, Pierre Fabre Oncology, Leo Pharma, Sanofi, Helsinn, Pfizer. L. Celio: Advisor: Italfarmaco SpA. R.D. Berman: Honoraria for seminars and lectures: Chugai, Tesaro. A. Franceschetti, D. Belli: Employee: Ipsos. K. Jordan: Consultant or received honoraria: Helsinn Healthcare, Tesaro, Merck/MSD. All other authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

Table: 16810 Use of NK₁RA-based prophylactic antiemetic treatments for CINV by emetic risk of chemotherapy according to the MASCC/ESMO guidelines classification

Chemotherapy regimen	Total patients,* %, n	Patients with NK ₁ RAs,* % n	NK ₁ RA + 5-HT ₃ RA + DEX, %	NK ₁ RA + 5-HT ₃ RA, %	NK ₁ RA + DEX, %	NK ₁ RA monotherapy, %	NK ₁ RA + other antiemetics, %
HEC – cisplatin based	55% of HEC 211,600	39% 81,827	18% 38,804	16% 33,363	2% 4,935	2% 4,554	0% 172
HEC – AC based	39% of HEC 151,185	36% 54,724	20% 30,955	12% 18,601	2% 3,497	1% 1,419	0% 252
HEC – other	6% of HEC 22,219	17% 3,803	2% 515	13% 2,894	1% 144	1% 234	0% 15
MEC – carboplatin based	30% of MEC 177,027	23%, 40,317	11% 18,839	10% 17,484	1% 1,995	1% 1,656	0% 343
Total (all HEC + carboplatin based)	38% of all patients 562,032	32% 180,671	16% 89,114	13% 72,342	2% 10,571	1% 7,862	0% 783

5-HT₃RA, 5-hydroxytryptamine-3 receptor antagonist; AC, anthracycline-cyclophosphamide; CINV, chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting; DEX, dexamethasone; HEC, highly emetogenic chemotherapy; MASCC/ESMO, Multinational Association of Supportive Care in Cancer/European Society for Medical Oncology; MEC, moderately emetogenic chemotherapy; NK₁RA, neurokinin-1 receptor antagonist.

*Estimate of total number of patients is based on the projected prevalence of total 1,468,522 patients being treated with chemotherapy. A sample of 46,503 patients was used for the projections.