

| Table: 204P | | | | | |
|---------------------------|----|--------|---------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| NLR | No | Events | Median OS or PFS in months (95% C.I.) | HR (95% C.I.) | P-value |
| Overall survival | | | | | |
| EOX | | | | | |
| Low | 81 | 49 | 14.01 (10.66-18.16) | Ref | Overall: 0.01 |
| Mid | 81 | 49 | 11.48 (8.45-13.49) | 1.47 (0.98-2.20) | 0.06 |
| High | 92 | 57 | 9.97 (7.43-15.43) | 1.78 (1.20-2.64) | 0.00 |
| EOX-P | | | | | |
| Low | 90 | 63 | 12.27 (10.20-15.59) | Ref | Overall: <0.001 |
| Mid | 90 | 59 | 8.95 (6.88-10.99) | 1.39 (0.97-1.98) | 0.07 |
| High | 80 | 63 | 5.26 (4.28-7.17) | 2.68 (1.87-3.83) | <0.001 |
| Progression Free Survival | | | | | |
| EOX | | | | | |
| Low | 81 | 69 | 9.28 (7.24-9.93) | Ref | Overall: 0.01 |
| Mid | 81 | 60 | 6.28 (5.56-8.72) | 1.55 (1.09-2.21) | 0.01 |
| High | 92 | 75 | 6.64 (5.07-7.80) | 1.62 (1.17-2.26) | 0.00 |
| EOX-P | | | | | |
| Low | 90 | 81 | 9.01 (7.04-10.20) | Ref | Overall: <0.001 |
| Mid | 90 | 73 | 5.49 (4.14-6.41) | 1.50 (1.09-2.06) | 0.01 |
| High | 80 | 73 | 5.20 (3.16-5.99) | 2.11 (1.53-2.91) | 0.00 |

Conclusions: In REAL3 NLR was associated with EGFR induced rash and was prognostic. The magnitude of NLR effect is larger in the EOX-P arm. For NLR low OS is similar in both arms; a negative interaction between panitumumab and high NLR may exist. Further evaluation of immune system anti-EGFR antibody interactions are warranted.

Clinical trial identification: NCT00824785.

Legal entity responsible for the study: Royal Marsden Hospital

Funding: None

Disclosure: E.C. Smyth: Honoraria for advisory role from Bristol-Meier Squibb, Five Prime Therapeutics and Gritstone Oncology. I. Chau: Honoraria - Amgen; Gilead Sciences; Lilly; Pfizer; Taiho Pharmaceutical Consulting or Advisory Role - Bayer; Bristol-Myers Squibb; Five Prime Therapeutics; Lilly; MSD Oncology; Roche/Genentech; Sanofi Research Funding - Janssen-Cilag (Inst); Merck Serono (Inst); Sanofi (Inst) Travel, Accommodations, Expenses - Lilly; Merck Serono; MSD; Sanofi. D. Cunningham: Research Funding - Amgen (Inst); AstraZeneca (Inst); Bayer (Inst); Celgene (Inst); MedImmune (Inst); Merck Serono (Inst); Merrimack (Inst); Sanofi (Inst).

All other authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

204P Rash, neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and survival in the REAL3 trial

E.C. Smyth¹, K. Kouvelakis², C. Peckitt², T. Waddell³, D. Watkins¹, S. Rao¹, N. Starling¹, C. Saffery¹, A.F. Okines¹, I. Chau¹, D. Cunningham¹

¹Gastrointestinal Oncology, Royal Marsden Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK, ²Research & Development, Royal Marsden Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK, ³Gastrointestinal Oncology, Christie Hospital, Manchester, UK

Background: REAL3 treated 553 advanced oesophagogastric cancer pts with EOX or EOX-P (panitumumab); (OS EOX-P vs. EOX HR 1.37, 95% CI 1.07-1.76; p = 0.013). In REAL3 rash in EOX-P pts was positively prognostic, however EGFR rash biology is poorly understood. Systemic inflammation/immunosuppression measured using NLR is also frequently prognostic. We evaluated the association between EOX-P induced rash, NLR and survival in REAL3.

Methods: Pts were partitioned into tertiles by NLR. Association between rash/NLR was examined via multivariate logistic regression adjusted for clinicopathological variables. Progression free (PFS) and OS were estimated using the Kaplan Meier method. Multivariate Cox regression (MVA) examined the prognostic value of covariates.

Results: Odds ratio for rash (grade ≥1) was 0.31 (0.13-0.71), p = 0.01 in pts in highest NLR tertile compared to lowest tertile. OS and PFS were significantly superior for pts with low NLR in both EOX and EOX-P arms (table). In low and mid NLR groups, OS was not significantly different between EOX and EOX-P arms (EOX vs EOX-P NLR low group HR 1.21 (0.83-1.77); NLR mid group HR 1.25 (0.85-1.83); NLR high group 1.86 (1.29-2.69). In MVA NLR and rash had independent prognostic value.